

Application in file No. 498 of 1905.

In the matter of the estate of U. Ramaswamaiya, late clerk in the District Forest Office, Bangalore, but now ... *Deceased.*

U. Venkatasubbaiya ... *Applicant.*

Whereas U. Venkatasubbaiya, resident of Chikkapet, Bangalore City, claiming to be the father of the said U. Ramaswamaiya, deceased, has applied for a certificate under Section 17, Act VII of 1889, to collect Rs. 500 due to the estate of the said deceased under Policy No. 53651 of 1903, issued by the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company (Limited), Bombay.

Notice is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants in the estate of the said U. Ramaswamaiya, deceased, are invited to appear before the Hon'ble the Resident at Bangalore, on Saturday the 10th of February 1906 at 11 A.M., when the petition of the said U. Venkatasubbaiya will be heard and the right to the certificate determined.

Given under my hand and seal this 5th day of January 1906.

By Order,

N. S. THEUMALAI AIYANGAR, Registrar.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

CIVIL SIDE.

Corrigendum dated 14th December 1905, to the Rule of Practice No. 122, dated the 26th September 1905.

The Chief Court directs that the following corrections be made in the above Rule of Practice:—

1. Insert the following between Nos. 15 and 17 in the table D to the appendix to the said Rule of Practice:—

No.	Description of Court Registers, Books and Papers.	Number of years for which Registers, etc., are to be retained.
16	Other official correspondence relating to matters which have terminated	3
2.	Omit the words "and the Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870)" in the above appendix.	

CRIMINAL SIDE.

Rule of Practice No. 76, dated 6th December 1905.

Under Section 554 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and with the concurrence of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, the following rule is issued by the Chief Court for regulating the practice of the Criminal Courts in regard to the disposal of property produced before the said Courts, or in their custody or regarding which any offence appears to have been committed.

When a Court is of opinion that it cannot try a case brought before it by reason of the offence complained of having been committed beyond its jurisdiction, any exhibits produced in the case should, if practicable, be retained under its control for the period of two months, to allow of proceedings being taken in the proper Court.

B. BASAVARADHYA,
for Registrar.

MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT DEPARTMENT.

Notification No. 585, dated Bangalore, 21st December 1905.

It is hereby notified for general information that the revised assessment in 171 villages of the late Budihal Taluk, of which 126 villages now belong to the Chiknayakanhalli Taluk of the Tumkur District and forty-five villages to the new Hosdurga Taluk of the Chitaldrug District, having been sanctioned by Government, the announcement of the same under Section 113 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code will be commenced at Chiknayakanhalli on or about the 10th January 1906.

J. P. GRANT,
Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore.